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## Introduction

## Vector Field (VF) Path Following

Given a reference path $R^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)$, build up a group of vectors around the reference path as the control inputs (steering angle, speed) to the UAV so that it can converge to the reference path asymptotically.



## Weakness:

Standard VF only works for known, constant wind disturbance

## Introduction

Why not using Adaptive Control?

- Compensate the wind disturbance
- Limit the path following error at least bounded


## Kinematics and Control Task

- UAV kinematics in 2D

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=V_{a} \cos \psi+W \cos \psi_{w}+A \cos \psi_{A}  \tag{1}\\
& \dot{y}=V_{a} \sin \psi+W \sin \psi_{w}+A \sin \psi_{A}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure: UAV kinematics
$x, y$ : position of UAV $V_{a}$ : airspeed of UAV
$\psi$ : heading angle between airspeed and horizontal axis
$\chi^{\prime}$ : UAV's course angle
W: Constant wind amplitude
A: Time Varying wind amplitude $\psi_{w}$ : angle of constant wind in earth frame $\psi_{A}$ : angle of time varying wind in earth frame

## Kinematics and Control Task

- Assumptions
(1) Altitude and airspeed $\left(V_{a}\right)$ are held constant by the longitudinal control of UAV;
(2) The UAV is equipped with the course-hold loop devices whose dynamics can be modeled as the first-order system

$$
\dot{\chi}^{\prime}=\alpha\left(\chi_{c}-\chi^{\prime}\right)
$$

(3) The UAV course is measurable;
(4) A slowly time-varying unknown component of wind with amplitude $A(t)$ and angle $\psi_{A}(t)$.

- Control task

Build up the control law $\chi_{c}$ to let the UAV follow the path as accurately as possible under the wind disturbance.

## Kinematics and Control Task



## Path Following Strategies

## Adaptive Vector Field Path Following Strategy:

- Straight Line Following
- Orbit Path Following


## Straight Line Following

## Task

Find the control law which can steer the UAV to the reference straight line and keep along with the path.
(1) Distance error

$$
e=y-(a x+b)
$$

(2) Course error

$$
\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}=\chi^{\prime}-\chi_{d}
$$

(3) Desired course

$$
\chi_{d}=-\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}(k e)+\tan ^{-1}(a)
$$

$k$ : a positive constant influences the rate of course transition from $\chi^{\infty}$ to $\tan ^{-1}(a)$.

## Straight Line Following

- If $\chi^{\prime} \rightarrow \chi_{d}$, distance error will converge to zero.


## Proof.

Lyapunov function $\mathcal{V}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} e^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{1} & =e(\dot{y}-a \dot{x}) \\
& =e V_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi_{d}-a \cos \chi_{d}\right) \\
& =e V_{g}^{\prime} \frac{\sin \left(i \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}(k e)\right)}{\cos \left(\tan ^{-1} a\right)}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Straight Line Following

- Then derive the control law of the course angle Define the Lyapunov function $\mathcal{V}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}^{\prime 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{2} & =\tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \dot{\tilde{\chi}}^{\prime} \\
& =\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\chi_{c}-\chi^{\prime}\right)+\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k \dot{e}}{1+(k e)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\chi_{c}-\chi^{\prime}\right)+\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} V_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ideally, if we choose the command course as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{c}=\chi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} V_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\kappa>0$ : the shape of the trajectories on the sliding surface;
$\epsilon>0$ : the width of the transition region at the sliding surface.
The derivative of Lyapunov function is negative semi-definite.

## Straight Line Following

Unfortunately, the control law (Eq.(2)) can not be implemented directly!!

$$
\chi_{c}=\chi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} V_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

## Straight Line Following

Unfortunately, the control law (Eq.(2)) can not be implemented directly!!

$$
\chi_{c}=\chi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} V_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

We need ESTIMATOR for the ground velocity $V_{g}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{c}=\chi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} \hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Straight Line Following

Time to design the estimator for ground velocity.

## Straight Line Following

## Theorem

In straight line following scenario, the command course (Eq.(3)) and the estimator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime}=\Gamma \rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\sigma \Gamma \hat{V}_{g}^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\Gamma>0$ : the estimation gain, $\sigma>0$ : a switching $\sigma$-modification parameter.) guarantees that the tracking error converges to zero for unknown constant winds and stays bounded for unknown slowly time-varying wind.

## Straight Line Following

## Proof:

Define the estimator error as $\Theta=\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}$. The derivative of Lyapunov function $\mathcal{V}_{e}=\mathcal{V}_{1}+\rho \mathcal{V}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{-1} \Theta^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{e}= & \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{1}+\rho \dot{V}_{2}+\Gamma^{-1} \Theta \dot{\Theta} \\
= & \dot{V}_{1}+\rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime}\left[-\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\kappa \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)\right]+\Gamma^{-1}\left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right)\left(\dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime}-\dot{V}_{g}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho$ : positive weight term for course error, which is aimed to make the distance error and course error compatible.

## Straight Line Following

First, we prove the tracking errors ( $e$ and $\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}$ ) will converge to zero under the assumption that $\dot{V}_{g}{ }^{\prime}=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{e} & =\dot{V}_{1}+\rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime}\left[-\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)-\kappa \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)\right] \times \\
& +\Gamma^{-1}\left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right) \dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime} \\
& =\dot{V}_{1}-\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)+\left\{\dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime} \Gamma^{-1}-\rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)\right\} \times \\
& \left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If the estimator is chosen as

$$
\dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime}=\Gamma \rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then the derivative of $\mathcal{V}_{e}$ is negative semi-definite.

$$
\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{e}=\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{1}-\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

## Straight Line Following

However, $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{e}<0$ is not enough to prove the asymptotic convergence of tracking errors to zero for time varying systems.
Barbalat's Lemma for stability analysis of Time varying systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\mathcal{V}}_{e}= & \ddot{\mathcal{V}}_{1}-\rho \kappa \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right) \dot{\tilde{\chi}}^{\prime} \\
= & \ddot{\mathcal{V}}_{1}-\rho \kappa \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)\left[-\chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}}\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right) \Theta\right. \\
& \left.-\kappa \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ddot{\mathcal{V}}_{e}$ is bounded, $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{e}<=0, \mathcal{V}_{e}>=0 \Rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{e} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Conclusion: $e$ and $\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}$ converge to zero asymptotically.

## Straight Line Following

Then, we prove the tracking errors will be bounded for unknown slowly time-varying wind by using the $\sigma$-modification technique.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathcal{V}}= & -\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)+\left\{\left(\dot{\hat{V}}_{g}^{\prime}-\dot{V}_{g}^{\prime}\right) \Gamma^{-1}-\rho \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k}{1+(k e)^{2}} \times\right. \\
& \left.\left(\sin \chi^{\prime}-a \cos \chi^{\prime}\right)\right\}\left(\hat{V}_{g}^{\prime}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & -\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)-\sigma \Theta^{2}-\sigma \Theta\left(-\Gamma^{-1} \dot{V}_{g}^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}-V_{g}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality $-a^{2}+a b \leq-\frac{a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{2}$ for any $a$ and $b$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathcal{V}} & \leq-\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{\sigma}{2} \Theta^{2}+\frac{\sigma\left(V_{g}^{\prime}+\dot{V}_{g}^{\prime} \Gamma^{-1} \sigma^{-1}\right)^{2}}{2} \\
& =-\rho \kappa \tilde{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{sat}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{\sigma}{2} \Theta^{2}+\mathrm{constant}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Theta^{2} \geq \frac{2 C}{\sigma}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}$ will be negative definite $\Rightarrow e, \tilde{\chi}^{\prime}$ and $\Theta$ will converge inside a ball around the origin and stay bounded.

## Straight Line Following

- Uniform Ultimate Boundedness

The solution of $\dot{x}=f(x, t)$ starting at $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ are Uniformly Ultimately Bounded (UUB) with ultimate bound $B$ if: $\exists C_{0}>0, T=T\left(C_{0}, B\right)>0:\left(\left\|x\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \leq C_{0}\right) \Rightarrow(\|x(t)\| \leq B, \forall t \geq$ $t_{0}+T$.


All trajectories starting in large ellipse enter small ellipse within finite time $T\left(C_{0}, B\right)$.

## Simulation Results

- Performance of controller and estimator

(a) Straight line following performance

(b) Estimation performance
- Effect of design parameters


Influence of design parameters for straight line following:
Case 1: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 2: $k=0.05, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 3: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 4: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=1.5, \Gamma=50$.

## Orbit Path Following

- UAV kinematics in the polar coordinate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{d}=V_{g}^{\prime} \cos \left(\chi^{\prime}-\gamma\right) \\
& \dot{\gamma}=\frac{V_{g}^{\prime}}{d} \sin \left(\chi^{\prime}-\gamma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Distance error

$$
\tilde{d}=d-r
$$

- Course error

$$
\tilde{\chi}^{\prime}=\chi^{\prime}-\chi_{d}
$$

- Desired course

$$
\chi_{d}=\gamma-\left[\frac{\pi}{2}+\tan ^{-1}(k \tilde{d})\right]
$$

## Simulation Results


(a) Influence of design parameters for orbit following:
Case 1: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 2: $k=0.05, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 3: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, \epsilon=0.5, \Gamma=50$;
Case 4: $k=0.1, \kappa=\frac{\pi}{2}, \epsilon=1.5, \Gamma=50$.

(b) Estimation performance

## Comparison


(a) Straight line following

Table: Steady state RMS error for straight line following

|  | Std. VF | Id. VF | Adap. VF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMS | 0.2203 | 0.1573 | 0.1434 |


(b) Orbit path following

Table: Steady state RMS error for orbit following

|  | Std. VF | Id. VF | Adap. VF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMS | 0.33 | $6.08 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.1219 |

Thanks for your attention!

